

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/864,101	05/23/2001	Weidong Li	48470	2452
26327	7590 02/23/200	5	EXAMINER	
THE LAW OFFICE OF KIRK D. WILLIAMS 1234 S. OGDEN ST.			VANDERPUYE, KENNETH N	
DENVER, (ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2661	
			DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Augliontinu At		
**	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/864,101	LI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kenneth N Vanderpuye	2661	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicated of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days of 16 NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHy statute, cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•		
· <u> </u>	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur	·	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 10-19 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 and 19-30 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction.	thdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)			
Applicant may not request that any objection			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the call 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the call 11 including the call 11 includes		•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	iments have been received. Iments have been received in Appe e priority documents have been re Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 	4) Interview Sur	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/9249) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		rmal Patent Application (PTO-152) .	

Application/Control Number: 09/864,101

Art Unit: 2661

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 6, 23, 29 objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 6 (line 8), claim 23(line 9), claim 29(line 9) the word —to—should be inserted after "according" .Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2-3, 7-8, 20-22, 24-25, 27-28, 30 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear what is meant by "overflow rate for a collected characteristic", "overflow rate for each of the connections" or "overflow rate for the means for storing data". The word "overflow rate is not clearly defined in the specification; hence the above claims are vague and indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9, 23-24, 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ma et al.(5,953,338)

With regards to claim 1, 5, 26, Sriram teaches an apparatus comprising:

Means for establishing a plurality of collection pools for a range of collection rates(Fig. 3, 6, the ATM edge switch sets up a virtual path group, with each virtual path supporting plural virtual channels. Each virtual path supports a different data rate), each of the plurality of collection pools having a different collection rate(Fig. 6, VBR, CBR represent different collection rates); means for assigning a connection to a particular one of the plurality of connection pool(a client is assigned a VP/VC connection); and means for collecting data for the connection(ATM edge switch collects the voice or data for the virtual connection).

Application/Control Number: 09/864,101

Art Unit: 2661

Claims 2, 27 are rejected because in Ma the assignment of a connection to a particular VP group is based on the data rate.

Claims 3, 28 are rejected because in Ma the bandwidth assigned each VP must be able to support the traffic data rate requirements.

With regards to claims 6, 9, 23, 29 are rejected because Ma teaches an apparatus comprising:

Means for determining a data acquisition rate for each connection of a plurality of connections(bandwidth manager determines to data rate for each connection and this is based on the QOS requirements), means for configuring a plurality of predefined collection groups(Fig. 6 CBR, VBR), each predefined collection group having a different predetermined collection rate(different traffic types have different data rates); means for assigning said each connection to one of the plurality of predefined collection groups based at least in part on the data acquisition rate for said each connection(Fig. 1A, call admission, bandwidth manager assign different traffic types to different VP groups, the different traffic types represent different data rates); and means for collecting data according to its assigned predefined collection group at approximately said

Application/Control Number: 09/864,101

Art Unit: 2661

predetermined collection rate(ATM edge switch collects the voice or data for the virtual connection at the different rates).

Claims 7, 24, 30 are rejected because in Ma the assignment of a connection to a particular VP group is based on the data rate.

Claims 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sriram(5,463,620)

With regards to claim 20, Sriram teaches an apparatus comprising: means for establishing a plurality of collection pools for a range of collection rates (queues are classified into groups as shown in Fig. 5), each of the plurality of collection pools have a different collection rate(different queues accommodate the data rates for the different types of incoming traffic), means for collecting data from a plurality of means for storing data(Fig. 5 dynamic slice server collects data from the queues), means for assigning a particular means for storing data to a particular one of the collection pools based at least in part on an overflow rate for the means for storing data(the queues are classified according the characteristics of the different data types i.e. data rate or bandwidth and the queues must be able to receive packets at these rates); and means for collecting data from the plurality of means for storing data at approximately said collection rates of the plurality of collection pools. (Fig. 5 shows the different rates at which the time slice server collects data from the queues).

Claim 21 is rejected because Sriram teaches the limitation, wherein the collection rate of each of the plurality of collection pools equals the overflow rate for each of the particular means for storing data assigned to the collection pools. (the queues are classified according the characteristics of the different data types i.e. data rate or bandwidth and the queues must be able to receive packets at these rates)

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10-19 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth N Vanderpuye whose telephone number is 571-272-3078. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F(7:30-5:00) Second Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-3126.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/864,101 Page 7

Art Unit: 2661

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KNV 2/18/05 ENNETH VANDERPUYE PRIMARY EXAMINER